arXiv:1608.02997v1 [math.AG] 9 Aug 2016

LOG BIRATIONAL BOUNDEDNESS OF CALABI-YAU PAIRS

GABRIELE DI CERBO AND ROBERTO SVALDI

Abstract. We prove that there are finitely many families, up to birational equivalence, of klt pairs (X, Δ) with $K_X + \Delta$ numerically trivial and not of product-type, in dimension up to 4. In particular, the set of bases of non-isotrivial elliptic fibrations of Calabi-Yau varieties of dimension up to 5 is birationally bounded.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
1.1. Strategy of the proof 3
2. Preliminaries 5
2.1. Minimal model program 5
2.2. Boundedness of pairs 6
2.3. Boundedness of Calabi-Yau pairs 6
2.4. Lc-trivial fibrations 8
3. A structure theorem for Calabi-Yau pairs 10
4. Boundedness of Mori fibre spaces 12
5. Proof of the Theorems 14
References 16

1. Introduction

Let Y be a smooth Calabi-Yau variety over the complex numbers, i.e. a simply connected manifold with trivial canonical class. It is an important problem to understand the possible underlying topological types of such varieties. Two dimensional Calabi-Yau varieties are usually called K3 surfaces and they admit a unique topological model. In higher dimension this problem is wildly open and it would be of interest to settle the case of Calabi-Yau varieties with an elliptic fibration. If such a fibration exists, then the only non-zero holomorphic forms on the Calabi-Yau variety are the multiples of the trivializing section of the determinant of the cotangent bundle, that is, $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0$ for $0 < i < \dim Y$. Hence, hereinafter all Calabi Yau varieties will satisfy such further assumption.

This paper is motivated by the more general problem of understanding if there are finitely many families of elliptic Calabi-Yau varieties of fixed dimension $n \geq 3$. Note that in dimension 2, this is somehow too much to ask, since there are infinitely many families of projective elliptic K3 surfaces. Surprisingly, this seems to be a behaviour peculiar to surfaces only and in fact M. Gross proved that there are indeed finitely many families, up to birational equivalence, of elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds over a rational base, see [Gro94]. If the base is not rational, then it is

an Enriques surface and Y behaves like a product, more precisely the fibration is birationally isotrivial. In particular, the problem can be reduced to surfaces. A similar behaviour is expected to hold in higher dimension.

If Y has an elliptic fibration $Y \to X$ then by a generalized version of Kodaira's bundle formula, X carries a structure of Calabi-Yau pair, which means that there exists an effective divisor Δ such that (X, Δ) is klt and $(K_X + \Delta)$ is numerically trivial. In order to understand boundedness of elliptic Calabi-Yau varieties, we first need to understand if Calabi-Yau pairs belong to a bounded family. First of all, let us notice that the set of threefolds $X = S \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where S is a K3 surface, forms an unbounded family of Calabi-Yau pairs. On the other hand, by a theorem of Kollár and Larsen in [KL09], bases of elliptic Calabi-Yau which are not a product are rationally connected and so those examples do not occur in our setting. Moreover, it seems that they are the only examples where boundedness does not hold. The main result of this paper confirms this expectation at least in dimension up to 4.

Theorem 1.1. *Fix a positive integer $n \leq 4$ and a finite set $I \subset [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then the set of pairs (X, Δ) such that*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) (X, Δ) is klt with coefficients of Δ in I and $\Delta \neq 0$,
(3) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial, and
(4) (X, Δ) is not of product-type

forms a log birational bounded family.

(X, Δ) not of product type should be thought as X not being birational to a quotient of a product, see Definition 2.18 for more details. The condition is necessary to avoid the above mentioned examples. Moreover, we deal with quotients as well since there is no requirement on the fundamental group of X. We can show that the condition can be characterized studying possible outcomes of the minimal model program, as shown in Theorem 1.6. Roughly speaking, (X, Δ) is of product type if a minimal model program ends with a birationally isotrivial fibration.

The approach we use to prove Theorem 1.1 shows that the result holds in any dimension if we assume a well known conjecture in birational geometry. Actually, either BAB conjecture 2.12 or the effective base point freeness conjecture would imply Theorem 1.1 in any dimension. On the other hand, the condition on the singularities of (X, Δ) cannot be relaxed as shown in Example 1.9.

As a first corollary we deduce uniform boundedness of the Picard number and effective non-vanishing of the plurigenera of such pairs.

Corollary 1.2. *Fix a positive integer $n \leq 4$ and a finite set $I \subset [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Then there are integers ρ and m_0 such that for any (X, Δ) as in Theorem 1.1, we have $\rho(X) \leq \rho$ and $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta))) \neq 0$ for any m divisible by m_0.*

We are not able to deduce birational boundedness of elliptic Calabi-Yau varieties yet, but we can show at least boundedness of their bases in low dimension.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \leq 5$ be an integer. Then there are finitely many families of bases X, up to birational equivalence, of elliptically fibered Calabi-Yau varieties Y such that $\dim(Y) = n$ and $Y \to X$ is not birationally isotrivial.*

See 2.18 for the definition of a birationally isotrivial fibration. Combining the previous results we get.

Corollary 1.4. *Fix a positive integer $n \leq 5$. Then there exists a positive integer m_0 such that if*

(1) Y is a smooth projective variety of dimension n,
(2) there exists an elliptic fibration $Y \to X$,
(3) $Y \to X$ is not birationally isotrivial, and
(4) K_Y is numerically trivial,

then $h^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(m(K_Y))) \neq 0$ for any m divisible by m_0.

It would be interesting to obtain uniform bounds on the Hodge numbers or even Betti numbers of X in the previous corollary. Elliptic Calabi-Yau fourfolds seem to be the most relevant in F-theory and bounding their Hodge numbers is a very interesting problem with applications even in string theory, see for example [TW15].

All of the previous statements follow from the main technical result of this paper, which roughly speaking says that a family of Calabi-Yau pairs with a Mori fibration over a bounded family is bounded as well, see Theorem 1.7. This result holds in any dimension and it has a wide range of applications. For example, combining it with the main theorem in [HX14], we obtain.

Corollary 1.5. *Fix an integer n and a finite set $I \subseteq [0, 1]$. Then the set of pairs (X, Δ) satisfying*

(1) (X, Δ) is a n-dimensional klt pair,
(2) there exists a contraction morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ with $\dim(Y) = n - 1$,
(3) Δ is relatively big with coefficients in I,
(4) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial, and
(5) f has maximal variation,

forms a bounded family.

Intuitively, f has maximal variation if the image of the map to the moduli space of the fibres (F, Δ_F) has maximal dimension. In particular, the moduli part in the canonical bundle formula is a big divisor. See §2.4 for more details.

Let us conlude with a remark. In all statements of this Introduction, the assumption on the existence of a finite set in which the coefficients for the divisor Δ may vary could be weakened to the existence of a set of coefficients satisfying the descending chain condition, see §2 for the definition. This is a now standard reduction in view of the fundamental results of [HMX14]. In particular, all the results of this paper work in this more general setting.

1.1. **Strategy of the proof.** The proof consists of three main steps. The first two steps work in any dimension and only to complete the final one we need to impose extra conditions on the dimension of the Calabi-Yau pairs.

The first step is to characterize for which Calabi-Yau pairs we can run a suitable minimal model that allows us to perform induction. This is done by deriving a structure theorem for Calabi-Yau pairs: it roughly says that if X is not of product-type then we have a series of minimal models that end up with a Fano manifold.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $(X, \Delta > 0)$ be a klt Calabi-Yau pair not of product-type. Then there exists a birational contraction*

$$\pi \colon X \dashrightarrow X'$$

to a Calabi-Yau pair $(X', \Delta' > 0)$ *and a tower of morphisms*

$$X' = Y_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} \dots \xrightarrow{p_{k-1}} Y_k$$

such that each morphism $p_i \colon Y_i \to Y_{i+1}$ *is a* K_{Y_i}*-Mori fibre space and* Y_k *is Fano.*

The second step is an inductive statement. We prove that the set of Calabi-Yau pairs with a Mori fibration over a bounded family is bounded. This is the main technical result obtained in this paper.

Theorem 1.7. *Fix an integer* n*, a DCC set* I *and a bounded family* $\mathfrak{D}$ *of projective varieties. Then the set of pairs* (X, Δ) *satisfying*

(1) (X, Δ) *is a* n*-dimensional klt pair,*
(2) the coefficients of Δ *belong to* I*,*
(3) $K_X + \Delta$ *is numerically trivial,*
(4) there exists a Mori fibre space structure $f \colon X \to Y$ *with* $Y \in \mathfrak{D}$*,*

forms a bounded family.

Note that the previous theorem fails without the Calabi-Yau assumption, for example Hirzebruch surfaces are Mori fibre spaces over $\mathbb{P}^1$. In the case of threefolds, a similar boundedness result has been obtained by Jiang in [Jia15] under slightly different assumptions.

The final step is to deduce boundedness of the bases of Calabi-Yau pairs with a Mori fibration. This could be done in any dimension assuming either BAB conjecture or the Effective Base Point Freeness Conjecture. We combine the two approaches to obtain unconditionally results in somehow opposite directions. If the dimension of the bases is low, we use the case of BAB conjecture proved by Alexeev in [Ale94].

Theorem 1.8. *Fix a DCC set* I *and an integer* n*. Then the set of pairs* (X, Δ) *satisfying*

(1) (X, Δ) *is a* n*-dimensional klt pair,*
(2) the coefficients of Δ *belong to* I*,*
(3) $K_X + \Delta$ *is numerically trivial,*
(4) there exists a Mori fibre space structure $f \colon X \to Y$ *on* X *with either* $\dim(Y) = 1$ *or* $\dim(Y) = 2$ *and* $K_Y \not\equiv 0$*,*

forms a bounded family.

On the other hand, if the general fibre of the Mori fibre space has dimension 1, the BAB conjecture can be avoided using a result of Prokhorov-Shokurov in [PS09] on the effective base point freeness conjecture. In low dimension, it implies that the set of bases satisfies again Theorem 1.8. Unfortunately, the induction step can be carried only in lower dimension, since we don't know that Y is a Mori fibre space over a codimension 1 variety.

Let us conclude this section by showing that Theorem 1.1 cannot be generalized to log canonical pairs.

Example 1.9. Let $X = \mathbb{F}_e$ be the e-th Hirzebruch surface and let $\Delta = \sum_{i \geq 0} \delta_i \Delta_i$ be an effective divisor such that $K_X + \Delta \equiv 0$. We can assume that $\Delta_0 = \delta_0 C_0$, where C_0 is the zero section. By Proposition 2.20 in [Har77], for $i \geq 1$, we have

that $\Delta_i \equiv a_i C_0 + b_i f$ with $a_i \geq 0$ and $b_i \geq a_i e$, where f is the generic fibre. The Calabi-Yau condition implies that

$$\begin{cases} \delta_0 + \sum_{i\geq 1} \delta_i a_i = 2, \\ \sum_{i\geq 1} \delta_i b_i = e + 2. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\sum_{i\geq 1} \delta_i b_i \geq \sum_{i\geq 1} \delta_i a_i e = e(2 - \delta_0).$$

In particular, $(1 - \delta_0)e \leq 2$. If we allow δ_0 to be 1, the family of Hirzebruch surfaces is an unbounded family of Calabi-Yau pairs with lc singularities. On the other hand, if we impose klt singularities and δ_0 uniformly bounded away from 1, the family is bounded. As we will see later the key condition is that (X, Δ) is klt along the generic fiber.

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Paolo Cascini, Johan de Jong, Christopher Hacon, János Kollár, James M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan, Edward Witten and Chenyang Xu for many valuable conversations, suggestions and comments.

Most of this work was completed during several visits of the second author to Columbia University. He would like to thank Columbia University for the hospitality and the nice working environment. He would also like to thank MIT and UCSD where he was a visitor when part of this work was completed. He kindly acknowledges financial support from NSF research grant no: 1200656 and no: 1265263 and Churchill College, Cambridge.

The first author is partially supported by the Simons Foundation.

2. Preliminaries

Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a subset of the real numbers. We say that I satisfies the descending (resp. ascending) chain condition, in short DCC (ACC), if any non-increasing (non-decreasing) subsequence of numbers in I is eventually constant.

2.1. **Minimal model program.**

We follow the notation and definitions used in the book [KM98]. Moreover, we will use the minimal model program only for variety whose canonical class is not pseudo-effective. In this case, the existence and termination of the minimal model program has been established by Birkar-Cascini-Hacon-M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan.

Theorem 2.1. [BCHM10, Corollary 1.3.3] *Let (X, Δ) be a $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial klt pair. Assume $K_X + \Delta$ is not pseudo-effective. Then we may run a $(K_X + \Delta)$-MMP $g\colon X \dashrightarrow Y$ and that terminates with a Mori fibre space $f\colon Y \to Z$.*

Let us recall the definition of Mori fibre space.

Definition 2.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt pair and $f\colon X \to Z$ be a projective morphism of normal varieties with $\dim(Z) < \dim(X)$. Then f is a Mori fibre space if*

(1) X is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial,
(2) f is a contraction morphism of relative Picard number $\rho(X/Z) = 1$ and
(3) $(-K_X + \Delta)$ is f-ample.

2.2. **Boundedness of pairs.** We recall some definitions and results mainly from [HMX14].

Definition 2.3. *A set $\mathfrak{D}$ of pairs is bounded if there exists a pair (Z, B), and a projective morphism $Z \to T$ with T of finite type, such that any $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$ is isomorphic to the fiber (Z_t, B_t) of f for some closed point $t \in T$.*

A set $\mathfrak{D}$ of pairs is log birationally bounded if there exists a pair (Z, B), where the coefficients of B are all 1, and a projective morphism $Z \to T$ with T of finite type, such that for any $(X, \Delta) \in \mathfrak{D}$, there exists a closed point $t \in T$ and a birational map $f\colon Z_t \dashrightarrow X$ such that the support of B_t contains the support of the strict transform of Δ and any f-exceptional divisor.

To show that a given set of pairs is log birationally bounded, we will mainly use the following theorem which is a combination of results in [HMX13] and [HMX14].

Theorem 2.4. [HMX13, Thm. 3.1], [HMX14, Thm. 1.3] *Fix two positive integers n and V and a DCC set $I \subseteq [0, 1]$. Then the set of pairs (X, Δ) satisfying*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) (X, Δ) is lc,
(3) the coefficients of Δ belong to I, and
(4) $0 < \mathrm{Vol}(K_X + \Delta) \leq V$,

is log birationally bounded.

The reader can find the definition of the volume of a divisor D, $\mathrm{Vol}(D)$, on page 148 of [Laz04].

In some special situations it is possible to deduce boundedness from log birational boundedness.

Theorem 2.5. [HMX14, Thm. 1.6] *Fix a positive integer n and two positive real numbers δ and ϵ. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a set of log pairs (X, Δ) such that:*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) $K_X + \Delta$ is ample,
(3) the coefficients of Δ are at least δ, and
(4) the log discrepancy of (X, Δ) is greater than ϵ.

If $\mathfrak{D}$ is log birationally bounded then $\mathfrak{D}$ is a bounded family.

2.3. **Boundedness of Calabi-Yau pairs.** There exists an extensive literature on boundedness properties of varieties, or pairs, with trivial canonical class. Here we recall some of the results on this topic that we will need in the rest of this paper.

A first key result in [HMX14] is the following lemma, which will play a central role in §4.

Lemma 2.6. [HMX14, Lemma 6.1] *Fix a positive integer n and a set $I \subset [0, 1]$ satisfying DCC. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon > 0$ with the following property: let (X, Δ) be a pair such that*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) (X, Δ) is klt,
(3) the coefficients of Δ belong to I,
(4) Δ is a big divisor, and
(5) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial,

If Φ is a divisor with $K_X + \Phi \equiv 0$ and $\Phi \geq (1 - \delta)\Delta$ for some $\delta < \epsilon$, then (X, Φ) is klt.

Lemma 2.6 easily implies that the boundary divisor of any klt Calabi-Yau pair has bounded volume, once its coefficients belong to a DCC subset of $[0,1]$.

Theorem 2.7. [HMX14, Thm. B] *Fix a positive integer n, a real number $\epsilon > 0$ and a set $I \subset [0,1]$ satisfying DCC. Then there exists a positive integer M such that if (X, Δ) is a pair with*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) (X, Δ) is klt,
(3) the coefficients of Δ belong to I, and
(4) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial,

then $\mathrm{Vol}(X, \Delta) \leq M$.

Once those results are established, we can even bound the singularities of Calabi-Yau pairs.

Theorem 2.8. [HMX14, Thm. 1.5] *Fix a positive integer n and a set $I \subset [0,1]$ satisfying DCC. Then there is a finite subset $I_0 \subseteq I$ with the following properties: If (X, Δ) is a log pair such that*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) (X, Δ) is log canonical,
(3) the coefficients of Δ belong to I, and
(4) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial.

Then the coefficients of Δ belong to I_0.

More precisely, we will need the following. Let us remind the reader that a pair (X, Δ) is said to be ϵ-lc if the log discrepancy of the pair is at least ϵ.

Corollary 2.9. *Fix a positive integer n and a set $I \subset [0,1]$ satisfying DCC. Then there exists a positive real number $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, I)$ such that any klt Calabi-Yau pair (X, Δ) is ϵ-lc.*

Proof. Let us assume that there exist pair (X_n, Δ_n) as in the hypothesis of the statement above and their discrepancies form a decreasing sequence of real positive numbers with limit 0. By Corollary 1.4.3 in [BCHM10] it is possible to extract a divisor of minimal log discrepancy. Then, it is enough to apply Theorem 2.8 to this new set of pairs to obtain a contradiction. □

Imposing more conditions on the set of pairs, it is possible to deduce stronger boundedness statements. For example, in [HMX14], the following result is proved.

Theorem 2.10. [HMX14, Thm. 1.7] *Fix a positive integer n, a real number $\epsilon > 0$ and a set $I \subset [0,1]$ satisfying DCC. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of all pairs (X, Δ) such that*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) the coefficients of Δ belong to I,
(3) the total log discrepancy of (X, Δ) is greater than ϵ,
(4) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial , and
(5) $-K_X$ is ample.

Then $\mathcal{D}$ forms a bounded family.

In a similar fashion, Hacon-Xu proved the following result.

Theorem 2.11. [HX14, Thm. 1.3] *Fix a positive integer n and a DCC set $I \subset [0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of all pairs (X, Δ) such that*

(1) X is a projective variety of dimension n,
(2) (X, Δ) is klt with coefficients of Δ in I,
(3) $K_X + \Delta$ is numerically trivial, and
(4) Δ is big.

Then $\mathcal{D}$ forms a bounded family.

The above theorems can be thought as particular results predicted by the famous BAB conjecture.

Conjecture 2.12 (BAB Conjecture)**.** *Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a positive real number and n a positive integer. Then the set of all n-dimensional klt log Fano pairs (X, Δ) of log discrepancy greater than ϵ belong to a bounded family.*

Unfortunately, we are able to prove the conjecture only in low dimension. In dimension 2, Alexeev proved something slightly more general.

Theorem 2.13. [Ale94, Theorem 6.8] *Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Consider the set of all projective surfaces X with an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor Δ such that (X, Δ) is ϵ-klt and $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef excluding only those for which at the same time K_X is numerically trivial and X has at worst Du Val singularities. Then they belong to a bounded family.*

2.4. **Lc-trivial fibrations.** Since in this paper we deal mostly with Mori fibrations of Calabi-Yau pairs, here we collect some specific results about lc-trivial fibrations which can be applied to our setting. For more details, we refer to [Amb04] and [Amb05].

Theorem 2.14. *Let $f : X \to Z$ be a contraction of normal varieties. Suppose that there is an effective divisor Δ such that (X, Δ) is klt over the generic point of Z and $K_X + \Delta \sim_{f,\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Then there exist divisors B_Z and M_Z on Z such that B_Z is effective, (Z, B_Z) is klt, M_Z is pseudo-effective and $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Z + B_Z + M_Z)$.*

We will refer to B_Z as the boundary divisor and to M_Z as the moduli part of f.

It is important for induction purposes to be able to control the singularities of the base of a lc-fibration. By a theorem of Birkar, it is possible to do so under some conditions on the generic fibre.

Theorem 2.15. [Bir16, Theorem 1.4] *Let n be a natural number, $\epsilon > 0$ a real number and let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a bounded set of pairs. Then there is a real number $\delta = \delta(n, \epsilon, \mathfrak{D}) > 0$ satisfying the following: let (X, Δ) be a pair and $f \colon X \to Z$ be a contraction such that*

(1) (X, Δ) is ϵ-lc of dimension n,
(2) $K_X + \Delta \sim_{f,\mathbb{Q}} 0$,
(3) the general fibre F of f is of Fano type, and
(4) $(F, \Delta_F) \in \mathfrak{D}$.

Then we can choose an $\mathbb{R}$-divisor $M_Z \geq 0$ representing the moduli part so that $(Z, B_Z + M_Z)$ is δ-lc.

It is conjectured in [PS09] that, after passing to a birational model of the base, a fixed power of the moduli part M_Z, only depending on the coefficients of Δ, is base point free. In the same paper, they prove the conjecture in the special case where the relative dimension of the lc-trivial fibration is one.

Theorem 2.16. [PS09, Theorem 8.1] *Fix two positive integers n and r. Then there exists a positive integer $m = m(n, r)$ such that for any lc-trivial fibration $f\colon X \to Z$ with $n = \dim(X) = \dim(Z) + 1$ and $r(K_X + \Delta)_F \sim 0$ there exists a birational morphism $Z' \to Z$ with $|mM_{Z'}|$ base point free.*

Both the boundary divisor and the moduli part reflect the geometry of the fibration and they can be used to deduce important information about the total space and the variation of the fibres. For example, it is possible to characterize geometrically when the divisors in the canonical bundle formula are trivial.

Theorem 2.17. [Amb05, Theorem 4.7] *Let $(X, \Delta) \to Y$ be a lc-trivial fibration such that $B_Y = 0$ and $M_Y \equiv 0$. Then there exists a finite Galois covering $\tau\colon Y' \to Y$ such that:*

(1) τ is étale in codimension 1, and
(2) there exists a non-empty open subset $U \subset Y'$ and an isomorphism

$$(X, \Delta) \times_Y Y'|_U \to (F, \Delta_F) \times Y'|_U$$

over U.

The above theorem motivates the following definitions.

Definition 2.18. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt pair.*

(1) Let $f : X \to Y$ be a lc-trivial fibration. We say that f is birationally isotrivial if $B_Y = 0$ and $M_Y \equiv 0$.
(2) We say that (X, Δ) is of product-type if there exists a birational contraction $\pi\colon X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that $(X', \pi_*\Delta)$ admits a lc-trivial fibration with $B_Y = 0$ and $M_Y \equiv 0$.

Roughly speaking, if (X, Δ) is of product-type then X is birational to a quotient of a product. As we saw in the Introduction, it is necessary to avoid products of lower dimension varieties to obtain log birational boundedness of Calabi-Yau pairs.

In general, we can use the moduli part to measure the variation of the fibres. More precisely, let $f\colon (X, \Delta) \to Y$ be a lc-trivial fibration. Then there exists a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X, \Delta) & & & & (X^!, \Delta^!) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^!} \\ Y & \xleftarrow[\tau]{} & \bar{Y} & \xrightarrow{\rho} & Y^! \end{array}$$

where τ is generically finite and surjective, ρ is surjective, $f^!$ is a lc-trivial fibration, there exists a non-empty open set $U \subseteq \bar{Y}$ and an isomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (X, \Delta) \times_Y \bar{Y}|_U & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & (X^!, \Delta^!) \times_{Y^!} \bar{Y}|_U \\ & \searrow \quad \swarrow & \\ & U & \end{array}$$

We define the variation of f to be the dimension of $Y^!$ in the above diagram. By Theorem 3.3 in [Amb05], the moduli part $M_{Y^!}$ of $f^!$ is big and nef and $\tau^*(M_Y) = \rho^*(M_{Y^!})$. In particular, the variation of f is exactly the Kodaira dimension of M_Y, that is, the dimension of $Y^!$.

3. A structure theorem for Calabi-Yau pairs

We would like to characterize Calabi-Yau pairs which behave like a product of lower dimensional varieties. In this section we prove a structure theorem for Calabi-Yau pairs which is inspired by the following theorem of Kollár-Larsen. Roughly speaking, they prove that if a Calabi-Yau variety X admits a dominant rational map to a non-uniruled variety then X is a product.

Theorem 3.1. [KL09, Theorem 3] *Let X be a smooth, simply connected projective Calabi-Yau variety and $f\colon X \dashrightarrow Y$ a dominant map such that Y is not uniruled. Then one can write*

$$X \xrightarrow{\pi} X_1 \overset{g}{\dashrightarrow} Y ,$$

where π is a projection to a direct factor of $X \cong X_1 \times X_2$ and $g\colon X_1 \dashrightarrow Y$ is generically finite.

Our goal is to understand the structure of Calabi-Yau pairs. Any run of a K_X-MMP on a Calabi-Yau pair (X, Δ) with $\Delta \neq 0$ terminates with a Mori fibre space structure. If the base of this fibration is a variety with trivial canonical class then the (X, Δ) is of product type by Theorem 2.17. Otherwise we can repeat the same procedure on the base of the Mori fibre space.

By iterating this procedure, we can obtain the following description of Calabi-Yau pairs which is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.2. *Let (X, Δ) a klt Calabi-Yau pair. Then there exists a birational contraction*

$$\pi\colon X \dashrightarrow X'$$

to a Calabi-Yau pair $(X', \Delta' = \pi_*\Delta)$ and a tower of morphisms

$$X' = Y_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} \dots \xrightarrow{p_{k-1}} Y_k$$

such that

1. *for any $1 \leq i < k$ there exists a boundary Δ_i on Y_i and (Y_i, Δ_i) is a klt Calabi-Yau pair,*
2. *for any $0 \leq i \leq k$ the morphism $p_i\colon Y_i \to Y_{i+1}$ is a Mori fibre space, and*
3. *Y_k is a klt Calabi-Yau variety, i.e., $K_{Y_k} \equiv_{\mathbb{R}} 0$.*

Moreover, if $\dim Y_k > 0$, then (X, Δ) is of product type.

Proof. By Corollary 1.37 in [Kol13] we can replace (X, Δ) with its $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial model, which remains a klt Calabi-Yau pair. If $\Delta = 0$ there is nothing to prove, so we can assume $\Delta > 0$. In this case K_X is not pseudo-effective and by Theorem 2.1, we can run a K_X-MMP and end up on a Mori fibre space

$$X \overset{\pi}{\dashrightarrow} X' = Y_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} Y_1.$$

Note that $\Delta' = \pi_*\Delta > 0$ because we are running a K_X-MMP and $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Since $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, by the canonical bundle formula there exist divisors $B_1 \geq 0$ and M_1 on Y_1 such that (Y_1, B_1) is klt and

$$K_{Y_1} + B_1 + M_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0.$$

If $B_1 = 0 \equiv M_1$ then $K_{Y_1} \equiv 0$ and we are done.

Otherwise, by Theorem 0.2 in [Amb05], M_1 is pseudo-effective and we can choose $C_1 \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} M_1$ such that $(Y_1, B_1 + C_1)$ is klt. Moreover, by Corollary 3.18 in [KM98], Y_1 is still $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. In particular, we can run again a K_{Y_1}-MMP

$$Y_1 \overset{q_0}{\dashrightarrow} Y_1' \overset{q_1}{\dashrightarrow} Y_2' \overset{q_2}{\dashrightarrow} \dots \overset{q_{m-1}}{\dashrightarrow} Y_m' \xrightarrow{p_1} Y_2.$$

Each step in the above diagram can be completed for X', i.e., there exists a commutative diagram of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} X' & \overset{s_0}{\dashrightarrow} & X_1' & \overset{s_1}{\dashrightarrow} & X_2' & \overset{s_2}{\dashrightarrow} & \dots & \overset{s_{m-1}}{\dashrightarrow} & X_m' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle t_2} & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1'} \\ Y_1 & \overset{q_0}{\dashrightarrow} & Y_1' & \overset{q_1}{\dashrightarrow} & Y_2' & \overset{q_2}{\dashrightarrow} & \dots & \overset{q_{m-1}}{\dashrightarrow} & Y_m' \\ & & & & & & & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_1} \\ & & & & & & & & Y_2. \end{array} \tag{1}$$

Once we proved the existence of such diagram, substituting X' with X_m' and Y_1 with Y_m', we obtain the result.

The existence of the diagram in 1 is a simple application of the so-called two ray game. In fact, it is enough to complete one piece of the square at a time. Since we are running a K_{Y_1}-MMP, each map q_i is either a divisorial contraction or a flip. Then the result follows from Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4. By iterating this process, we obtain a diagram like 1.

Finally, the last claim in the statement is a simple consequence of Theorem 2.17. □

In the previous proof we used the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt Calabi-Yau pair and $p : X \to Y$ be a Mori fibre space. Let $q : Y \to Y'$ be a divisorial contraction. Then there exists a klt Calabi-Yau pair (X', Δ'), a Mori fibre space $p' : X' \to Y'$ and a birational contraction $f : X \to X'$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X' \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ Y & \xrightarrow{q} & Y' \end{array}$$

Proof. Since p is a Mori fibre space, we have that $\dim N^1(X/Y') = 2$. As Δ is relatively big, it follows that X/Y' is a relative Mori dream space, see [BCHM10]. In particular, $\mathrm{Nef}(X/Y')$ is generated by two relatively semi-ample divisors. One of them corresponds to the contraction $X \to Y$ and the corresponding ray is part of the boundary of $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X/Y')$. Let us denote by H a divisor spanning the other extremal ray of $\mathrm{Nef}(X/Y')$

Let S be the divisor contracted by q, then as p^*S sits in the boundary of $\overline{\mathrm{Eff}}^1(X/Y')$ and not in the boundary of $\mathrm{Nef}(X/Y')$, the nef cone is strictly contained in the pseudo-effective cone and hence H is big. Then, f is the Iitaka fibration of H and $\Delta' = f_*\Delta$. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let (X, Δ) be a klt Calabi-Yau pair and $p : X \to Y$ be a Mori fibre space. Let $q : Y \dashrightarrow Y'$ be a K_Y-flip. Then there exists a klt Calabi-Yau pair (X', Δ'), a Mori fibre space $p' : X' \to Y'$ and an isomorphism in codimension 1 $f : X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \overset{f}{\dashrightarrow} & X' \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p'} \\ Y & \overset{q}{\dashrightarrow} & Y' \end{array}$$

Proof. Let $Y \to Z$ be the flipping contraction associated to q. We want to produce a map f that makes the following diagram commute:



As in Lemma 3.3, X is a relative Mori dream space over Z and it is immediate to show that the ray spanned in $\mathrm{N}^1(X/Z)$ by p^*K_Y is contained in the boundary of the effective cone and there exists an isomorphism in codimension 1, $X \dashrightarrow X'$ such that p^*K_Y becomes semi-ample/Z. The corresponding variety is nothing but the flip of Y. □

4. Boundedness of Mori fibre spaces

In this section we prove the technical results needed for Theorem 1.1. More precisely, we show that if we fix a bounded set of varieties $\mathcal{D}$, then the set of Calabi-Yau pairs admitting a Mori fibre space structure mapping to one of the varieties in $\mathcal{D}$ is bounded as well. The strategy if to lift the very ample divisor on the base to the total space of the fibration and apply induction. On the other hand, the lifted divisor does not have anymore the structure of Calabi-Yau pair and so we need to deal with a different class of pairs. The following proposition settle this problem.

Proposition 4.1. *Fix a DCC set $I \subset \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ and an integer n. If (X, Δ) is a pair such that*

1. *X is projective of dimension n,*
2. *(X, Δ) is klt and the coefficients of Δ are in I,*
3. *there exists a morphism $f \colon X \to Y$ with Y not uniruled and a very ample divisor H on Y such that $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*H$, and*
4. *Δ is relatively ample over Y.*

Then there exists a constant $k = k(I, n, \mathrm{Vol}(H))$ such that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X, \Delta) \leq k.$$

Proof. We work by induction on $\dim Y$.

$\dim Y = 1$ When Y is a curve, let $M = M(n, I)$ be the number in Theorem 2.7 and let $\epsilon = \epsilon(n-1, I)$ be the number in Lemma 2.6. Let F denote the generic fiber of f and let $d = \deg(H) + 1$. Assume by contradiction that there exists a pair (X, Δ) satisfying all the assumptions in the statement of the proposition and such that $\mathrm{Vol}(X, \Delta) > dnM/\epsilon$.
Fix $0 < \delta < \epsilon$. By Lemma 4.2 below, we have that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X, \delta\Delta - dF) \geq \mathrm{Vol}(X, \delta\Delta) - dn\,\mathrm{Vol}(F, \delta\Delta|_F) > 0.$$

In particular, $\delta\Delta - dF$ is a big divisor on X and there exists and effective divisor $E_\delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta\Delta - dF$. Define $\Phi = (1-\delta)\Delta + E_\delta$. By construction $\Phi|_F \geq (1-\delta)\Delta|_F$ and $K_X + \Phi \sim_{f,\mathbb{Q}} 0$. By Lemma 2.6, (X, Φ) is klt over the generic point of C. We can now apply Theorem 2.14 to obtain effective divisors B_Y and M_Y on Y such that $K_Y + B_Y + M_Y$ is not pseudo-effective. This contradicts the fact that $g(Y) \geq 1$.

$\dim Y > 1$ We just modify the previous argument. We use the same notation as above. Assume by contradiction that there exist 6-tuples $(X_i, \Delta_i, f_i, F_i, Y_i, H_i)$ satisfying all the assumptions in the statement of the proposition and such that $\mathrm{Vol}(X_i, \Delta_i) \to \infty$.
Fix $0 < \delta < \epsilon$. By Lemma 4.2, we have that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X_i, \delta\Delta_i - f_i^* H_i) \geq \mathrm{Vol}(X_i, \delta\Delta_i) - n\,\mathrm{Vol}(f_i^* H_i, \delta\Delta_i|_{H_i}) > 0,$$

for i sufficiently large and since $\mathrm{Vol}(f_i^* H_i, \delta\Delta_i|_{H_i})$ is bounded by inductive hypothesis.
In particular, $\delta\Delta_i - (1+\eta_i) f_i^* H_i$ is a big divisor on X_i for $0 < \eta_i \ll 1$ and there exists and effective divisor $E_{i,\delta} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta\Delta_i - (1+\eta_i) f_i^* H_i$. Define $\Phi_i = (1-\delta)\Delta_i + E_{i,\delta}$. By construction $\Phi_i|_{F_i} \geq (1-\delta)\Delta_i|_{F_i}$ and $K_{X_i} + \Phi_i \sim_{f_i,\mathbb{Q}} 0$. As above, (X_i, Φ_i) is klt over the generic point of Y_i and the canonical bundle formula implies that Y_i is uniruled.

□

Lemma 4.2. [Jia15, Lemma 2.5] *Let X be a projective normal variety and let D be a $\mathbb{Q}$-Cartier $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on X. Let S be a semiample Cartier prime divisor on X. Then for any rational number $q > 0$*

$$\mathrm{Vol}(X, D + qS) \leq \mathrm{Vol}(X, D) + q \cdot \dim X \cdot \mathrm{Vol}(S, D|_S + qS|_S).$$

We can now use the previous proposition to show that we can produce a very ample divisor with bounded volume on the total space using the one on the base.

Theorem 4.3. *Fix a DCC set $I \subset [0,1]$ and integers n and d. Then the set of log pairs (X, Δ) satisfying*

(1) (X, Δ) is a n-dimensional klt pair,
(2) the coefficients of Δ belong to I,
(3) $K_X + \Delta \equiv 0$,
(4) there exists a Mori fibre space structure $f\colon X \to Y$ on X, and
(5) a very ample divisor H with $\mathrm{Vol}(Y, H) \leq d$,

forms a bounded family.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be the set of pairs (X, Δ) satisfying the conditions in the statement. Since f is a Mori fibre space, $\delta\Delta + f^*H$ is an ample divisor for any $\delta \ll 1$. By Corollary 2.9 we know that there exists $\epsilon = \epsilon(n, I) > 0$ such that (X, Δ) is ϵ-lc.

Moreover, since f^*H is base point free, we can find an effective divisor $H' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*H$ with fixed coefficients such that $(X, \Delta + H')$ is still ϵ-lc. Moreover, by Theorem 2.8 we know that the coefficients of Δ are uniformly boundend from below. In particular, the coefficients of $(1+\delta)\Delta + H'$ are still uniformly bounded from below. Choosing δ arbitrarly close to 0, we can still assume that we have uniform bound for the discrepancy of $(X, (1+\delta)\Delta + H')$ and the coefficients of the boundary. Note that we are not requiring a uniform choice of δ, so the coefficients of $(1+\delta)\Delta + H'$ may not be in a DCC set. On the other hand, this is enough to apply Theorem 2.5, so it remains to show that $\mathfrak{D}$ is log birationally bounded.

By Theorem 2.8 we know that there exists $c = c(I, \dim X)$ such that $c\Delta$ is an integral Weil divisor for every pair in $\mathfrak{D}$. Thanks to Lemma 4.2, we have that $\operatorname{Vol}(c\Delta + f^*H) \leq c^n \operatorname{Vol}(X, \Delta) + n \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(H, c\Delta|_H + f^*H|_H)$.

Let $\pi \colon Y \to X$ be a log resolution of $(X, \Delta + H')$ so that

$$K_Y + \widetilde{\Delta} + \widetilde{H'} + E = f^*(K_X + \Delta + H') + \sum_i a_i E_i,$$

where E is the reduced exceptional divisor of π. We have the following inequalities for all $\delta \ll 1$

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Vol}(K_X + (1+\delta)\Delta + H') &\leq \operatorname{Vol}(K_Y + \lceil \widetilde{\Delta} + \widetilde{H'} \rceil + E) && (X, \Delta + H') \text{ is } \epsilon\text{-lc} \\
&\leq \operatorname{Vol}(c\Delta + H') && \text{using } f_* \text{ and } K_X + \Delta \equiv 0 \\
&\leq c^n \operatorname{Vol}(X, \Delta) + k \cdot \operatorname{Vol}(H', c\Delta|'_H + H'|_{H'}),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Lemma 4.2 . Note that, if $\dim(Y) \geq 2$, the pair $(H', \Delta'_H + H'|_{H'})$ satisfies Proposition 4.1 and in particular, $K_Y + \lceil \widetilde{\Delta} + \widetilde{H'} \rceil + E$ is big and its volume is bounded from above. If $\dim(Y) = 1$, H' is a sum of fibres which are klt Calabi-Yau pairs with big boundary divisor and we obtain the same volume bound by Theorem 2.7. Finally, Theorem 2.4 concludes the proof. □

5. Proof of the Theorems

In this last section we prove the results stated in the Introduction.

Proof of 1.6. Combine Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 2.17. □

Proof of 1.7. Using Theorem 10.2.4 in [Laz04] by Kollár-Matsusaka, boundedness of a family is equivalent to the existence of a Cartier divisor on the total space of the family which restricts to a very ample Cartier divisor with bounded top self-intersection on any variety in that family. In view of this, the result then follows from Theorem 4.3 □

Proof of 1.8. When $\dim Y = 1$, the result follows immediately from Theorem 1.7.

When $\dim Y = 2$, the canonical bundle formula implies that there exist divisors B_Y, M_Y on Y such that $K_Y + B_Y + M_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. By Theorem 2.15 the pair $(Y, B_Y + M_Y)$ has δ-klt singularities for a given δ that can be determined as a function of ϵ. Then, it follows from Theorem 2.13 that the bases of the Mori fibre spaces contained in $\mathcal{D}$ are bounded in this case and Theorem 1.7 concludes the proof. □

Proof of 1.1. By Theorem 3.2, X is birational to a tower of Mori fibre spaces

$$X \dashrightarrow X' = Y_0 \xrightarrow{p_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{p_1} Y_2 \xrightarrow{p_2} \dots \xrightarrow{p_{k-1}} Y_k,$$

where $p_0 \colon X' \to Y_1$ is simply the outcome of a run of a K_X-MMP.

The set of pairs $(X', \Delta' = r_*\Delta)$ forms a bounded family. In fact, if $\dim(Y_1) = 0$, X' is a Fano variety with bounded singularities by Lemma 2.9. Then the result follows from Theorem 2.10. If $0 < \dim(Y_1) \leq 3$, we deduce boundedness by induction on the dimension using Theorems 1.7 and 1.8.

By Proposition 2.5 in [HX14], there exists a family $(Z, D) \to T$ such that for any exceptional divisor E over one of the (X', Δ') of discrepancy ≤ 0, there exists $t \in T$ and a birational morphism $\mu_t : Z_t \to X'$ which extract precisely E and $K_{Z_t} + D_t = \mu_t^*(K_{X'} + \Delta')$. Since $K_X + \Delta \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and $K_{X'} + \Delta' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, all the exceptional divisors for $r\colon X \dashrightarrow X'$ have discrepancy ≤ 0 with respect to Δ'. In particular, (X, Δ) is birational in codimension one to a pair (Z_s, D_s) for some closed point $s \in T$. Then the family $(Z, \lceil D \rceil) \to T$ satisfies the conditions for log birational boundedness for the set of pairs (X, Δ). □

Proof of 1.2. The result on the effective non-vanishing of $|m(K_X + \Delta)|$ follows from birational invariance of $h^0(\mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta)))$ in the Calabi-Yau case and noetherian descent, after applying Theorem 1.1.

In the second part of the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have shown that any of the (X, Δ) is isomorphic in codimension one to one of the fibers of a pair $(Z, D) \to T$ over a finite type base T. Moreover, we can assume that these fibres of the family are $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial, as we can always pass to a small $\mathbb{Q}$-factorialization of X before applying Theorem 3.2. By substituting T with the closure of the set of points $t \in T$ above which are the fibers birational isomorphic to the pairs (X, Δ), we can then assume that these fibers are also Zariski dense. In view of these observations, it is enough to show that the Picard ranks of the fibers of $(Z, D) \to T$ are bounded.

This is proven in Proposition 2.8 of [HX14].

Let us notice that although Hacon and Xu assume in the statement of the proposition that the generic fibre of the family is a variety of Fano type, they explain in the proof that in order to obtain the isomorphism $\mathrm{N}^1(Z/T) \to \mathrm{N}^1(Z_t)$ on a Zariski dense set, it is enough to assume that the generic fibre is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial and $H^1(Z_t, \mathcal{O}_{Z_t}) = 0$. Calabi-Yau pairs of non-product type are rationally connected by Theorem 3.2 and have rational singularities, hence the vanishing of $H^1(Z_t, \mathcal{O}_{Z_t})$ follows at once by Corollary 3.8 of [Kol96]. By the explanation in the previous paragraph we can assume that the generic fibre of the family is $\mathbb{Q}$-factorial. □

Proof of 1.3. Let $\pi : Y \to X$ be an elliptic fibration which is not birationally isotrivial. By Theorem 3.2, there exists a birational map $X \dashrightarrow X'$, where X' is a Mori fibre space. We claim that X' is the base of an elliptic Calabi-Yau. We proceed as in the proof of the structure theorem. We need to complete the square for each step of the minimal model program. If $X \to X_1$ is a divisorial contraction then we just consider the composition $Y \to X_1$. If $X \dashrightarrow X_1$ is a flip, let H_1 be an ample divisor on X_1 and let H be its pullback on X. Since H is big and $Y \to X$ is an elliptic fibration, we know that π^*H has Kodaira dimension $n - 1$. Moreover, it is abundant because $\nu(\pi^*H)$ cannot be maximal and the numerical dimension is always larger than the Kodaira dimension. By Theorem 4.3 in [GL13], there exists a good minimal model for π^*H, i.e. a birational map $\psi\colon Y \dashrightarrow Y'$ where $\psi_*\pi^*H$ is semi-ample and hence defines an elliptic fibration $Y' \to X'$.

This shows that X cannot be of product-type otherwise the fibration on Y' would be birationally isotrivial. Theorem 1.1 concludes the proof. □

Proof of 1.4. Note that the proof of Theorem 1.3 works with the weaker assumption $K_Y \equiv 0$. Then the result follows from Theorem 2.14, Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.2. □

Proof of 1.5. Since the relative dimension of f is one, it follows from Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.16 that there exists $m = m(n, I)$ for which $|mM_{Y'}|$ is base point free, on a suitable birational model $Y' \to Y$. The coefficient of B_Y belong to a DCC set by definition of the boundary divisor of a lc-trivial fibration and by ACC of the log canonical threshold, see Theorem 1.1 of [HMX14]. By choosing a sufficiently general member $H \in |mM_Y|$, we can assume that the pair $(Y, B_Y + \frac{1}{m}H)$ is a klt Calabi-Yau pair and moreover the coefficients of the boundary belong to a DCC set. Theorem 2.11 and Theorem 1.7 conclude the proof. □

References

[Ale94] V. Alexeev, *Boundedness and K^2 for log surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. 5 (1994), no. 6, 779-810. 4, 8

[Amb04] F. Ambro, *Shokurov's boundary property*, J. Differential Geom. 67 (2004), no. 2, 229-255. 8

[Amb05] F. Ambro, *The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration*, Compos. Math. 141 (2005), no. 2, 385-403. 8, 9, 11

[Bir16] C. Birkar, *Singularities on the base of a Fano type fibration*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 715 (2016), 125-142. 8

[BCHM10] C. Birkar, P. Cascini, C. Hacon and J. M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan, *Existence of minimal models for varieties of log general type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (2010), 405-468. 5, 7, 11

[GL13] Y. Gongyo and B. Lehmann, *Reduction maps and minimal model theory*, Compos. Math. 149 (2013), no. 2, 295-308. 15

[Gro94] M. Gross, *A finiteness theorem for elliptic Calabi-Yau threefolds*, Duke Math. J. 74 (1994), no. 2, 271-299. 1

[HMX13] C. Hacon, J. M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan and C. Xu, *On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type*, Ann. of Math. (2) 177 (2013), no. 3, 1077-1111. 6

[HMX14] C. Hacon, J. M$^{\text{c}}$Kernan and C. Xu, *ACC for log canonical thresholds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 180 (2014), no. 2, 523-571. 3, 6, 7, 16

[HX14] C. Hacon and C. Xu, *Boundedness of log Calabi-Yau pairs of Fano type*, arXiv:1410.8187 [math.AG]. 3, 7, 15

[Har77] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry. Graduate Texts In Mathematics, No. 52. *Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg*, 1977. 4

[Jia15] C. Jiang, *On birational boundedness of Fano fibrations*, arXiv:1509.08722, 2015. 4, 13

[Kol13] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, with a collaboration of Sándor Kovács. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 200. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. 10

[Kol96] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics, **32**, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1996. 15

[KL09] J. Kollár and M. Larsen, *Quotients of Calabi-Yau varieties*, Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry: in honor of Yu. I. Manin. Vol. II, 179-211, Progr. Math., 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009. 2, 10

[KM98] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 134, Cambridge University Press, 1998. 5, 11

[Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, Positivity in Algebraic Geometry I, II, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A series of Modern Survays in Mathematics **48**, *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 2004. 6, 14

[PS09] Y. Prokhorov and V. Shokurov, *Towards the second main theorem on complements*, J. Algebraic Geom. 18 (2009), no. 1, 151-199. 4, 8, 9

[TW15] W. Taylor and Y.-N. Wang, *The F-theory geometry with most flux vacua*, arXiv:1511.03209 [hep-th]. 3

Department of Mathematics, Columbia University, New York NY 10027, USA
E-mail address: dicerbo@math.columbia.edu

DPMMS, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road, Cambridge, CB3 0WB, United Kingdom
E-mail address: RSvaldi@dpmms.cam.ac.uk